1 2 3 BEFORE THE PUBLIC DISCLOSURE COMMISSION 4 OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON 5 In the Matter of Enforcement Action Against: PDC CASE NO. 07-012 07-015 6 Michael Donow, 7 Respondent FINAL ORDER 8 9 This matter came for hearing before the Washington State Public Disclosure Commission on October 31, 2006 at the John L. O'Brien Building, Hearing Room D, 504 10 15<sup>th</sup> Avenue SW, Olympia, Washington. Those present included Commission members Bill 11 Brumsickle, Vice-Chair; Ken Schellberg, Secretary; and, Earl Tilly, Member. Also present 12 were PDC Executive Director Vicki Rippie; Bruce Marvin, Assistant Attorney General 13 representing Commission staff; Mike Donow, Respondent; and, Terry Simon, attorney for 14 15 Mike Donow. Nancy Krier, Senior Assistant Attorney General and counsel for the Commission, was also present. The proceedings were open to the public and were 16 recorded. 17 This matter involved allegations that the Respondent, a former member of the 18 Monroe City Council, committed two violations of RCW 42.17.120 through his activities in 19 the 2001 Monroe City Council election and the 2003 Monroe City Council election. 20 PDC Staff submitted to the Commission the Notice of Administrative Charges dated 21 September 29, 2006 and the PDC Report of Investigation dated September 29, 2006 (with 22 exhibits). The parties submitted to the Commission a proposed Stipulation as to Facts, 23 Violations and Penalty (Stipulation) dated October 25, 2006. The parties also made oral 24 presentations to the Commission. 25 26 111

Following consideration of the written and oral submissions, the Commission voted to accept the Stipulation.

## I. FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Based upon the Stipulation, which is hereby attached and incorporated by reference, the Commission finds:

The Jurisdiction, Facts, Violations, Penalty, and Reservation of Rights are established as provided in the Stipulation.

#### II. ORDER

Based upon the findings and conclusions, the Commission orders that:

- 1. The Stipulation is accepted.
- 2. The Respondent is assessed civil penalties and costs as provided in the Stipulation in a total amount of \$5,000, \$3,200 of which will be suspended for four years as provided in the Stipulation on the condition that the Respondent commits no further violations of RCW 42.17 for four years from the date of the entry of this order. Payment of the non-suspended portion (\$1,800) is due 180 days from the date of the entry of this order.

#### III. APPEALS

### RECONSIDERATION OF FINAL ORDER - COMMISSION

Any party may ask the Commission to reconsider this final order. Parties must place their requests for reconsideration in writing, include the specific grounds or reasons for the request, and deliver the request to the Public Disclosure Commission Office within **TWENTY-ONE (21) BUSINESS DAYS** of the date that the Commission serves this order upon the party. WAC 390-37-150. Service by the Commission on a party is accomplished on the date of mailing by U.S. mail if the order is mailed, or the date of personal service if

personal service is made. RCW 34.05.010(19). The Commission orders are generally mailed via U.S. mail.

Pursuant to WAC 390-37-150, the Public Disclosure Commission is deemed to have denied the petition for reconsideration if, within twenty (20) business days from the date the petition is filed, the Commission does not either dispose of the petition or serve the parties with written notice specifying the date by which it will act on the petition. Pursuant to RCW 34.05.470(5), the Respondent is not required to ask the Public Disclosure Commission to reconsider the final order before seeking judicial review by a superior court.

# **FURTHER APPEAL RIGHTS – SUPERIOR COURT**

Pursuant to RCW 42.17.395(5), a **final order** issued by the Public Disclosure Commission is subject to judicial review under the Administrative Procedures Act, chapter 34.05 RCW. The procedures are provided in RCW 34.05.510 - .598. Pursuant to RCW 34.05.542(2), a petition for judicial review must be filed with the superior court in Thurston County or the petitioner's county of residence or principal place of business. The petition for judicial review must be served on the Public Disclosure Commission and any other parties within **30 days** of the date that the Public Disclosure Commission serves this final order on the parties. RCW 34.05.542 (4) provides: "Service of the petition on the agency shall be by delivery of a copy of the petition to the office of the director, or other chief administrative officer or chairperson of the agency, at the principal office of the agency. Service of a copy by mail upon the other parties of record and the office of the attorney general shall be deemed complete upon deposit in the United States mail, as evidenced by

the postmark."

1	If reconsideration is properly sought, the petition for judicial review must be served
2	on the Public Disclosure Commission and any other parties within thirty (30) days after the
3	Commission acts on the petition for reconsideration.
4	ENFORCEMENT OF FINAL ORDERS
5	
6	The Commission will seek to enforce this final order in superior court under RCW
7	42.17.395397, and recover legal costs and attorney's fees, if the penalty remains unpaid
8	and no petition for judicial review has been filed under chapter 34.05 RCW. This action
9	will be taken without further order by the Commission.
10	The Executive Director is authorized to enter this order on behalf of the Commission.
11	DATED THIS 13 day of November, 2006.
12	DATED THIS 13 day of November, 2006.
13	FOR THE COMMISSION:
14	Violent Taris
15	- VIGE TYPES
16	VICKI RIPPIE, Executive Director
17	
18	Attachment: Stipulation as to Facts, Violations and Penalty dated October 25, 2006
19	Date of mailing:
20	
21	Copy mailed to:
22	Terry Simon, Counsel for Respondent Mike Donow, Respondent
23	Bruce Marvin, Assistant Attorney General Nancy Krier, Senior Counsel, Attorney General's Office
24	.a.
25	
26	